

A Metacomputation Toolkit for a Subset of F# and Its Application To Software Testing Towards Metacomputation for the Masses

Dimitur Krustev

IGE+XAO Balkan



6 July 2012 / META 2012

Driving and Tabulation inside Visual Studio[®]

The screenshot shows the Visual Studio IDE with the 'FsPrTree' project running. The main editor displays the following F# code:

```
(* ***** *)  
  
let sample_BinTree () =  
  begin  
    let e = <@ fun t ->  
      let size = treeSize t  
      let height = treeHeight t  
      let b1 = natLE size (NSucc(NSucc(NSucc(NZero))))  
      let b2 = natLE height (NSucc(NSucc(NZero)))  
      if boolAnd b1 b2 then Some (size, height) else None @>  
  
    initialConf (expr2closed e)  
    //> ptTabulateDF 650 1000000  
    > ptTabulateID 100 1000000  
    > Seq.filter (fun ((*,*) subst, ce) ->  
      match ce with  
      | CECon(c, _) when c = str2cname "Some" -> true  
      | _ -> false )  
    > Seq.truncate 100  
    //> Seq.map (fun (i, s, ce) -> (s, ce))  
    > tabAsMap  
    > fun x -> printfn "%A" x  
  end
```

The output window shows the following execution results:

```
map  
[ (Some (Tuple2 (NSucc (NSucc (NSucc (NZero))))  
  Inap [ (t_0,  
    Node ( _3, Node ( _6, EmptyTree, EmptyTree  
  >>)]);  
  (Some (Tuple2 (NSucc (NSucc (NSucc (NZero))), NSucc (NZero))  
    Inap [ (t_0, Node ( _3, Node ( _6, EmptyTree, EmptyTree, EmptyTree  
      map [ (t_0, Node ( _3, EmptyTree, Node ( _6, EmptyTree, EmptyTree  
    (Some (Tuple2 (NSucc (NZero)), NSucc (NZero))  
      Inap [ (t_0, Node ( _3, EmptyTree, EmptyTree))  
    (Some (Tuple2 (NZero, NZero)), Inap [ (t_0, EmptyTree, EmptyTree
```

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Supercompilation \subsetneq Metacomputation
 - Making Metacomputation (More) Practical
 - Sample Application – Equivalence-partitioning Tests
- 2 Program Tabulation for a HO FL
 - F# – Subset, Code Quotations
 - Driving, Optimizations
 - Program Tabulation
 - Tabulation Limitations
- 3 Application to Testing, Possible Extensions
 - Equivalence Partitioning by Program Tabulation
 - Partition Testing – Another Example
 - Possible Extensions

Supercompilation \subseteq Metacomputation

- Supercompilation – currently most popular metacomputation technique

1.	ftp://ftp.botik.ru/pub/local/Sergei.Abramov/Scp-project	TSG
2.	http://botik.ru/pub/local/scp/refal5/refal5.html	Refal
3.	http://community.haskell.org/~ndm/supero/	Haskell subset
4.	http://hackage.haskell.org/package/optimusprime	Haskell subset
5.	http://hackage.haskell.org/package/supero	Haskell subset
6.	http://users.dsic.upv.es/grupos/elp/peval/	Curry
7.	http://users.ecs.soton.ac.uk/mali/systems/eccc_Download/	Prolog
8.	http://web.archive.org/web/20050819015639/http://www.dina.kvl.dk/~jesper/CASE/	Haskell subset
9.	http://www.evil-wire.org/~jacobian/supercompiler.tgz	Prolog
10.	http://www.supercompilers.ru/	Java subset
11.	https://github.com/batterseapower/chsc	Haskell subset
12.	https://github.com/ilya-klyuchnikov/hosc	Haskell subset
13.	https://github.com/ilya-klyuchnikov/sc-mini	Haskell subset
14.	https://github.com/jasonreich/FilterSC	Haskell subset
15.	https://github.com/spsc	Haskell subset
16.	https://sites.google.com/site/dkrustev/Home/publications/fpsc20030102.zip?attredirects=0	FP subset

- Other powerful techniques exist (neighborhood analysis, neighborhood testing, program tabulation, program inversion)

1.	ftp://ftp.botik.ru/pub/local/Sergei.Abramov/book.appndx	TSG
2.	http://www.botik.ru/~xsg/	XSG
3.	https://github.com/ilya-klyuchnikov/sll-meta-haskell	Haskell subset

- ... but not so well-known \Rightarrow no practical applications developed

Supercompilation \subsetneq Metacomputation

- Supercompilation – currently most popular metacomputation technique

1.	ftp://ftp.botik.ru/pub/local/Sergei.Abramov/Scp-project	TSG
2.	http://botik.ru/pub/local/scp/refal5/refal5.html	Refal
3.	http://community.haskell.org/~ndm/supero/	Haskell subset
4.	http://hackage.haskell.org/package/optimusprime	Haskell subset
5.	http://hackage.haskell.org/package/supero	Haskell subset
6.	http://users.dsic.upv.es/grupos/elp/peval/	Curry
7.	http://users.ecs.soton.ac.uk/mal/systems/ecce_Download/	Prolog
8.	http://web.archive.org/web/20050819015639/http://www.dina.kvl.dk/~jesper/CASE/	Haskell subset
9.	http://www.evil-wire.org/~jacobian/supercompiler.tgz	Prolog
10.	http://www.supercompilers.ru/	Java subset
11.	https://github.com/batterseapower/chsc	Haskell subset
12.	https://github.com/ilya-klyuchnikov/hosc	Haskell subset
13.	https://github.com/ilya-klyuchnikov/sc-mini	Haskell subset
14.	https://github.com/jasonreich/FilterSC	Haskell subset
15.	https://github.com/spsc	Haskell subset
16.	https://sites.google.com/site/dkrustev/Home/publications/fpsc20030102.zip?attredirects=0	FP subset

- Other powerful techniques exist (neighborhood analysis, neighborhood testing, program tabulation, program inversion)

1.	ftp://ftp.botik.ru/pub/local/Sergei.Abramov/book.appndx	TSG
2.	http://www.botik.ru/~xsg/	XSG
3.	https://github.com/ilya-klyuchnikov/sll-meta-haskell	Haskell subset

- ... but not so well-known \Rightarrow no practical applications developed

Making Metacomputation (More) Practical

- Existing metacomputation implementations
 - small special languages
 - no tool support (IDE, debugger)
- Why F#?
 - Simple functional core (language in the ML family)
 - Relatively Popular
 - created/supported by Microsoft (.NET language)
 - open-source (runs on Mono as well)
 - Good Tools (Visual Studio, SharpDevelop, . . .)
 - Built-in support for writing meta-programs
 - code quotations
 - parsing, type inference, de-sugaring – handled by the F# compiler

Equivalence-partitioning Tests

- Equivalence partitioning:
 - define an equivalence relation on the input domain
 - ... which partitions the domain into a (finite) number of equivalence classes
 - select just one test from each equivalence class
- Motivation:
 - if partitioning is chosen well
 - then the program under test will behave “in the same way” for all data points in a given equivalence class
 - hence it suffices to test on a single data point from each class

Example – Tests for Binary Trees

```

type BinTree<'T> =
  | EmptyTree | Node of 'T * BinTree<'T> * BinTree<'T>
[<ReflectedDefinition>]
let rec treeSize t = match t with
  | EmptyTree -> NZero
  | Node(_, l, r) ->
    NSucc (natAdd (treeSize l) (treeSize r))

```

```

<@ fun t ->
  let size = treeSize t
  let height = treeHeight t
  let b1 = natLE size (NSucc(NSucc(NSucc(NZero))))
  let b2 = natLE height (NSucc(NSucc(NZero)))
  if boolAnd b1 b2 then Some (size, height) else None @>

```

Example – Results

```

((Some (Tuple2 (NSucc (NSucc (NSucc (NZero))),
  NSucc (NSucc (NZero)))),
  [map [(t_0, Node (__3, Node (__6, EmptyTree, EmptyTree),
    Node (__9, EmptyTree, EmptyTree)))]]);

(Some (Tuple2 (NSucc (NSucc (NZero)),
  NSucc (NSucc (NZero))),
  [map [(t_0, Node (__3,
    Node (__6, EmptyTree, EmptyTree), EmptyTree)]];
  map [(t_0, Node (__3, EmptyTree,
    Node (__6, EmptyTree, EmptyTree)))]]);
...]
```

F# Code Quotations

- Similar in spirit to MetaML and Template Haskell
- Give access to ASTs of selected code fragments
 - [`<ReflectedDefinition>`] makes the AST of a top-level definition accessible (the definition is still compiled as well)
 - `<@ ... @>` returns the AST of the enclosed (syntactically complete) code fragment, instead of evaluating it
 - AST can be processed like a normal algebraic data type

```
match e with
| Var(var) -> ...
| Application(e1, e2) -> ...
| Lambda(v, e1) -> ...
...

```

F# Subset

```
type Exp =  
  | EVar of VName  
  | EApp of Exp * Exp  
  | ELam of BindPattern * Exp  
  | ELet of VName * Exp * Exp  
  | ELetRec of (VName * Exp) list * Exp  
  | ECon of CName * Exp list  
  | ECase of Exp * (Pattern * Exp) list
```

- higher-order!
- tuples, union types, records (de-sugared to tuples)
- full support for let- and letrec-expressions
- NO: destructive updates, OOP (classes, inheritance, ...)

Driving Step Results

- DSDone – no more driving possible – make a leaf in the process tree
- DSTransient **of** 'Conf – deterministic static reduction performed
- DSBranch **of** 'ContrHead * ('Contr * 'Conf) list – match-expression scrutinizing a variable – leads to a branching node in the tree
- DSDecompose **of** 'Conf list * ('Conf list->'Conf) – “decomposition” node – several sub-cases possible:
 - non-nullary constructor
 - lambda-expression (**fun** x -> ...)
 - f x y ..., where f is a free variable
 - **match** f x y ... **with** ..., where f is a free variable

Configuration Representation – Closures

- Configurations: context + closure-based expression representation (explicit environments)
 - easier, transparent treatment of let-expressions
 - easier, transparent treatment of letrec-expressions!!
 - less worries about variable capture/freshness

```

type ClosedExp =
  | CEMVar of VName * Env<ClosedExp>
  | CEClosure of BindPattern * Exp * Env<ClosedExp>
  | CEApp of ClosedExp * ClosedExp
  | CECon of CName * ClosedExp list
  | CECase of Exp * CaseAlts * Env<ClosedExp>
  
```

Configuration Representation – Optimizations

- Need to optimize to achieve acceptable (memory-related) performance
 - delay conversion between closure-based and standard expression representations whenever possible (hoping that some conversions may cancel each other)
 - accept both kinds of expression representations in closure environments
 - limited form of environment pruning (when making a closure from a variable, skip environment bindings until one for this variable found)

Program Tabulation – Definition

- Key initial step in the URA technique for program inversion
- Reconstruct the input-output relation of the program
 - on a subset of the data domain $D_{in} \subseteq D$
 - as a possibly infinite table $(D_{in}^{(1)}, f_1), (D_{in}^{(2)}, f_2), \dots$
 - where $D_{in}^{(i)}$ form a partition of D_{in}
 - and f_i are expressions representing functions $D_{in}^{(i)} \rightarrow D$
 - Also: computation on each $d \in D_{in}^{(i)}$ must take the same path in the perfect process tree of the program

Program Tabulation – Classic Approach

- Algorithm outline:
 - build and traverse a (perfect) process tree of the program
 - when passing through a branch node, collect contractions in each branch
 - when reaching a leaf, its configuration is f_i , and the composition of contractions along the way is an encoding of $D_{in}^{(i)}$
- No transient or decomposition nodes considered
- Transient nodes: easy – just skip them
- Decomposition nodes?

Decomposition Node Treatment

- Classic approach: breadth-first process tree traversal – complete, BUT:
 - memory-hungry
 - not clear how to treat decomposition nodes
- Iterative deepening – less memory-hungry alternative, easier to treat decomposition nodes:
 - tabulate each subtree of decomposition node, resulting in a table tab_i (finite, because traversal is depth-limited!)
 - construct the Cartesian product of all tab_i
 - from each product element $((D_{in}^{(i_1)}, f_{i_1}), \dots, (D_{in}^{(i_n)}, f_{i_n}))$ build table entry for decomposition node:

$$(D_{in}^{(i_1)} \cap \dots \cap D_{in}^{(i_n)}, C(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_n}))$$

Decomposition Node Treatment

- Classic approach: breadth-first process tree traversal – complete, BUT:
 - memory-hungry
 - not clear how to treat decomposition nodes
- Iterative deepening – less memory-hungry alternative, easier to treat decomposition nodes:
 - tabulate each subtree of decomposition node, resulting in a table tab_i (finite, because traversal is depth-limited!)
 - construct the Cartesian product of all tab_i
 - from each product element $((D_{in}^{(i_1)}, f_{i_1}), \dots, (D_{in}^{(i_n)}, f_{i_n}))$ build table entry for decomposition node:

$$(D_{in}^{(i_1)} \cap \dots \cap D_{in}^{(i_n)}, C(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_n}))$$

Tabulation Restrictions – HO Results

- Decomposition nodes:
 - non-nullary constructors – OK!
 - lambda-expressions – ?
 - calls to unknown function (free variable) – ?
- HO functions in result

```
<@ fun b ->  
  if b then (b, fun x -> boolNot x)  
  else (boolNot b, fun x -> x) @>
```

- We must recover a finite, closed function body from a (potentially infinite) process tree (we need a supercompiler)
- interesting use cases?

Tabulation Restrictions – HO Results

- Decomposition nodes:
 - non-nullary constructors – OK!
 - lambda-expressions – ?
 - calls to unknown function (free variable) – ?
- HO functions in result

```
<@ fun b ->  
  if b then (b, fun x -> boolNot x)  
  else (boolNot b, fun x -> x) @>
```

- We must recover a finite, closed function body from a (potentially infinite) process tree (we need a supercompiler)
- interesting use cases?

Tabulation Restrictions – HO Inputs

- HO functions in inputs

```
<@ fun p xs -> listFilter p (listFilter p xs) @>
```

- Tabulation must deal with **match** p x **with** \dots , where p is free
 - some sort of higher-order unification needed?
- instead of adding higher-order unification to tabulation ...
- ...we can make a meta-system transition:
 - higher-order input \Rightarrow first-order function encoding
 - calls to HO parameter \Rightarrow calls to an encoding interpreter

Tabulation Restrictions – HO Inputs

- HO functions in inputs

```
<@ fun p xs -> listFilter p (listFilter p xs) @>
```

- Tabulation must deal with **match** p x **with** ..., where p is free
 - some sort of higher-order unification needed?
- instead of adding higher-order unification to tabulation ...
- ... we can make a meta-system transition:
 - higher-order input \Rightarrow first-order function encoding
 - calls to HO parameter \Rightarrow calls to an encoding interpreter

Avoiding Restrictions – Example

```

module NatToXRepr =
  type Stream<'a> = | SCons of 'a * Lazy<Stream<'a>>
  [<ReflectedDefinition>]
  let rec streamNth n (SCons(x, xs1)) =
    match n with
    | NZero -> x
    | NSucc(n1) -> streamNth n1 (xs1.Force())
  [<ReflectedDefinition>]
  let eval tbl n = streamNth n tbl
  
```

```

<@ fun p_tbl xs ->
  let p = NatToXRepr.eval p_tbl
  listFilter p (listFilter p xs) @>
  
```

Avoiding Restrictions – Result

```
map
  [(Cons (NSucc (NZero),Empty),
    [map
      [(p_tbl_0, SCons (__6,SCons (True,__7)));
        (xs_1, Cons (NSucc (NZero),Empty))]]);
    (Cons (NZero,Empty),
      [map [(p_tbl_0, SCons (True,__4));
        (xs_1, Cons (NZero,Empty))]]);
    ...]
```

Using Tabulation for Equivalence Partitioning

- Recall main idea of equivalence partitioning – build a finite partition of the input domain: $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_n = D$,
 $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$
- We can specify such a partition by a function $f : D \rightarrow X$ where $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is finite (with small number of elements):
 - $D_i := \{d \in D \mid f(d) = x_i\}$
- If f is coded in our F# subset, we can use program tabulation on f to build the partition:
 - $Tab(f, D) = (D'_1, f_1), (D'_2, f_2), \dots$

Using Tabulation for Equivalence Partitioning (cont.)

- Assume f is “reasonably” defined:
 - all f_i are constant functions ($f_i(d) = x_j$ for some j)
 - there is a finite prefix of the table (of length n), such that $\{f_1(d_1), f_2(d_2), \dots, f_n(d_n)\} = X$ (where $d_i \in D'_i$ are arbitrary)
- We can then obtain our partition of the input domain:
 - $D_i := \bigcup \{D'_k \mid f_k(d_k) = x_i, d_k \in D'_k, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$
- When partition is defined, selecting actual tests from each equivalence class is (usually) a simple task (fill arbitrary well-typed values in place of free variables)

Another Example: Well-typed STLC Terms

```
type Ty = Tiota | Tarr of Ty * Ty
type Exp = V of Nat | A of Exp * Exp | L of Ty * Exp
[<ReflectedDefinition>]
let rec typeOf (tenv: Ty list) (e: Exp) : Ty option =
  match e with
  | V n -> listNth n tenv
  | A(e1, e2) ->
    match typeOf tenv e1, typeOf tenv e2 with
    | Some (Tarr(t11, t12)), Some t2
      when tyEq t11 t2 -> Some t2
    | _, _ -> None
  | L(ty, e1) ->
    match typeOf (ty::tenv) e1 with
    | Some ty1 -> Some (Tarr(ty, ty1))
    | None -> None
```

Well-typed STLC Terms – Tabulation Query

```
<@ fun tenv e ->  
  let cond1 = natEq (LCSample.lamCount e) NZero  
  let appc = LCSample.appCount e  
  let cond2 = natLE (NSucc(NSucc(NZero))) appc  
  let cond3 = natLE appc (NSucc(NSucc(NSucc(NZero))))  
  if boolAnd cond1 (boolAnd cond2 cond3) then  
    match LCSample.typeOf tenv e with  
    | None -> false  
    | _ -> true  
  else false @>
```

Well-typed STLC Terms – Results

```

[(e_1, A (V (NZero),A (V (NZero),V (NSucc (NZero))));
  (tenv_0, Cons (Tarr (Tiota,Tiota),Cons (Tiota,__14)))]
[(e_1, A (V (NSucc (NZero)),
          A (V (NSucc (NZero)),V (NZero))));
  (tenv_0, Cons (Tiota,Cons (Tarr (Tiota,Tiota),__12)))]
[(e_1, A (A (V (NSucc (NZero)),V (NZero)),V (NZero))));
  (tenv_0, Cons (Tiota,
                Cons (Tarr (Tiota,Tarr (Tiota,__16)),__12)))]
...
[(e_1, A (V (NZero),A (V (NZero),
          A (V (NZero),V (NSucc (NZero))));
  (tenv_0, Cons (Tarr (Tiota,Tiota),Cons (Tiota,__17)))]
...

```

Toolkit Improvements

- Make the toolkit even more user-friendly
 - extend toolkit library of standard types and operations (binary-arithmetic integers, maps, sets, ...)
 - extend built-in conversions from/to standard F# types (especially `int`)
- Make the toolkit faster (current space usage reasonably good already)
 - speed up driving?
 - byte-code-based driving?
 - parallelization?
 - prune process tree branches?
 - faster treatment of decomposition nodes?

Toolkit Extensions

- Add a supercompiler
 - many potential practical applications (property verification, ...)
 - full treatment of higher-order functions inside tabulation results
- Neighborhood analyzer
- Neighborhood testing
 - Potentially very useful in practice!
 - property-based test generation
 - ...
 - Possible problem: performance
 - neighborhood testing requires 2 levels of interpretation

Summary

- A practical implementation of metacomputation techniques for a large subset of F#
 - first implementation of program tabulation for a HO FL
- With a practical application: generating equivalence-partitioning tests
- Interesting optimization tricks (especially w.r.t. space usage)
- Outlook
 - Make toolkit even more easier to use (e.g. special support for numbers)
 - Further optimizations (especially time of driving, tabulation)
 - Implement other practically useful metacomputation techniques (neighborhood testing?)